

**GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
AT PANAJI**

CORAM: Shri. M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Appeal No.165/SIC/2011

Mr. Tony Rebello,
R/o.H. No.724,
Bhiunsa Voilwaddo,
Salcette, Goa

... Appellant.

V/s.

1. The Public Information Officer,
Chief Officer,
Cuncolim Municipality,
Cuncolim, Goa

2. The Director,
Directorate of Municipal Administration,
Panaji, Goa

... Respondents

Appellant present.

Respondent No.1 present.

J U D G M E N T
(10/04/2012)

1. The Appellant, Shri Tony Rebello has filed the present appeal praying that the information as requested by him be furnished to him correctly and fully without reserving any information to save any person; that action be taken on Public Information Officer for not providing information; and that disciplinary proceeding initiated against Public Information Officer and that compensation be granted to him.

2. The brief facts leading to the present appeal are as under:-

That the appellant vide application dated 11/2/2011 sought certain information under Right to Information Act, 2005 ('R.T.I.' act for short) from the Public Information officer(P.I.O.)/respondent No.1. That the P.I.O./respondent No.1 had failed to furnish the required information as per the application of the appellant. However, incomplete and biased reply was furnished. Being

aggrieved appellant preferred appeal before First Appellate Authority/respondent No.2(F.A.A.). By order dated 6/5/2011, the respondent No.2 informed the appellant that P.I.O. should give inspection and certified copies be made available within 15 days. Being aggrieved with the said judgement and order, the appellant has preferred present appeal on various grounds as set out in the Memo of Appeal.

3. In pursuance of the notice issued, the P.I.O./respondent No.1 Appeared. He did not file any reply as such. But he advanced arguments.

4. Heard the appellant as well as the respondent No.1 and also perused the records. It is seen that application seeking information is dated 11/2/2011. The reply furnished is dated 9/3/2011. It was the contention of the appellant that incomplete and biased reply was furnished. During the course of arguments, appellant states that full information has been furnished. He further states he is satisfied with the same and that he has no grievance of any sort.

5. Since information is furnished, no intervention of this Commission is required. Hence I pass the following order.:-

ORDER

No intervention of this Commission is required as information is furnished. The appeal is disposed off.

The appeal is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 10th day of April, 2012.

Sd/-
(M. S. Keny)
State Chief Information
Commissioner